

A PUBLICATION OF THE NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - DIVISION OF TOURISM, FILM AND SPORTS DEVELOPMENT

## June 2012

Strategic tourism marketing and policy decisions depend on accurate, consistent tracking of business indicators such as lodging statistics, attraction and welcome center visitation, transportation statistics and more. The intent of the North Carolina Travel Tracker is to provide up-to-date and relevant tourism indicators for both the state and individual regions within the state. With data from the Travel Tracker, program areas and industry partners can strategically plan, implement and evaluate processes and programs.

The following report analyzes a variety of tourism indicators by 1) State, 2) the three geographic marketing regions (coastal, piedmont and mountain), and for some indicators 3) the seven economic development regions. As well as providing a review of the current state of business, the report provides a year-to-date analysis and comparisons to previous years where applicable.

With regards to the lodging data found in this report; while virtually every chain in the United States provides Smith Travel Research (STR) with data on almost all of their properties, there are still some hotels that don't submit data. However, every year STR examines listings and directories for information on hotels that don't provide data. STR calls each hotel in the database every year to obtain "published" rates for multiple categories. Based on this information all hotels are grouped - those that report data and those that don't - into groupings based off of price level and geographic proximity. They then estimate the non-respondents based on nearby hotels with similar price levels.

Following is a guide to charts and graphs for this report:

#### Section 1 - Statewide Tourism Indicator Analysis for June 2012

- Chart 1 Hotel/Motel Occupancy in North Carolina June 2006 2012
- Chart 2 Hotel/Motel Average Daily Room Rate in North Carolina June 2006 2012
- Chart 3 Hotel/Motel Revenue per Available Room in North Carolina June 2006 2012
- Chart 4 Hotel/Motel Room Demand in North Carolina June 2006 2012
- Chart 5 North Carolina Lodging Statistics Monthly Percent Change 2008 2012
- Chart 6 Statewide Visitation to Attractions June 2006 2012
- Chart 7 Statewide Visitation to Attractions Monthly History 2006 2012
- Chart 8 State Welcome Center and Local Visitor Center Attendance June 2006 2012
- Chart 9 Statewide National and State Park Visitation June 2006 2012
- Chart 10 Statewide Visitation to State Parks Monthly History 2006 2012
- Chart 11 Statewide Visitation to National Parks Monthly History 2006 2012
- Chart 12 Statewide State Historic Site Visitation June 2006 2012
- Chart 13 Statewide Visitation to State Historic Sites Monthly History 2006 2012
- Chart 14 Statewide Airport Arrivals and Departures June 2006 2012
- Chart 15 Lower Atlantic Average Monthly Retail Gas Prices for Unleaded June 2006 2012
- Chart 16 North Carolina Average Temperature and Precipitation June 2008 2012

#### Section 2 – Geographic Marketing Region Tourism Indicator Analysis for June 2012

Chart 17 – Hotel/Motel Statistics by Geographic Region June 2012

- Chart 18 Hotel/Motel Room Demand by Geographic Region June 2012
- Chart 19 Visitation to Attractions, Parks and Historic Sites by Geographic Region June 2012
- Chart 20 State Welcome Center & Local Visitor Center Attendance by Geographic Region June 2012
- Chart 21 Airport Arrivals and Departures by Geographic Region June 2012

#### Section 3 – Economic Development Region Tourism Indicator Analysis for June 2012

- Chart 22 Hotel/Motel Statistics by Economic Development Region June 2012
- Chart 23 Hotel/Motel Room Demand by Economic Development Region June 2012

#### Section 4 – National Travel Price Index

Chart 24 - National Travel Price Index June 2006 – June 2012






Chart 1 provides a comparison over a seven year period to show the trend of occupancy in the state for the month of June. Occupancy for June 2012 was up nearly five percent from June 2011. *Occupancy at the national level increased by 4.3 percent in June 2012 from the previous year.* 





Chart 2 provides a comparison over a seven year period to show the trend of average daily room rate (ADR) in the state for the month of June. ADR increased four percent in June, and is up over seventeen percent over the last seven years. *ADR at the national level was up 5.0% in June 2012 from the previous June.* 

Source: Smith Travel Research



In Chart 3 an analysis of Revenue per Available Room (RevPAR) is provided. RevPAR is an industry term that describes the revenue that a hotel earns on the basis of just the rooms available for a given night. In other words, rooms not available either due to renovation or other reasons are not included in this equation. Mathematically, RevPAR can be determined dividing total room revenue by rooms available (occupancy times average room rate will closely approximate RevPAR).

As with previous charts, Chart 3 shows a comparison over a seven year period to show the trend of RevPAR in the state for the month of June. RevPAR was up nine percent in June 2012, continuing the positive growth that began in the spring of 2010. *RevPAR at the national level was up 9.5% in June 2011 from the previous June.* 




Chart 4 depicts hotel/motel demand for the month of June 2012 with comparisons to the previous six years. Demand is the number of rooms sold excluding complimentary rooms. Room demand for June was at a record high this year with over 2.8 million rooms, up nearly over five percent from June 2011. *Room demand at the national level saw an increase of 4.7% change in June 2011 from the previous June.* 




Chart 5 provides a monthly percent change for the four major lodging indicators. The chart allows for a three year trend-line analysis that clearly depicts that the major indicators have shown a steady positive change since early 2010 and still remain in the positive range.




Chart 6 provides a status of the attractions industry in North Carolina for the month of June for the last seven years. The numbers represent only a sample of North Carolina attractions that provide their attendance data, and are not intended to be considered a complete list of attractions. However, the wide variation of type and location of the participating attractions allow for a valid aggregate trend analysis on a monthly basis, particularly when tracking percent change. Attractions for which older estimates have not been obtained are not included in percent change calculations to accurately allow for trend analysis.

June attraction attendance was up over seventeen percent from 2011, and topped 2009 levels. June attraction attendance has increased nearly thirty percent since 2006.




Chart 7 shows a monthly trend of attraction visitation for each of the last seven years. This chart allows for a view of the ebb and flow of monthly attraction attendance, while also providing a look at how attendance compares to the same month of the previous years. Not surprisingly, the winter months see lower visitation numbers at statewide attractions. However, it is helpful to view how visitation is allocated by month for strategic planning purposes.

Again, the numbers represent only a sample of North Carolina attractions that provide their attendance data, and are not intended to be considered a complete list of attractions. However, the wide variation of type and location of the participating attractions allow for a valid aggregate trend analysis on a monthly basis.




Chart 8 provides June visitation statistics for State Welcome Centers, as well as Local Visitor Centers throughout North Carolina. It should be noted that while there is a percent change indicated for welcome centers for 2007-2008 and 2008-2009, 2008 was the first year a percent change could accurately be provided. The NCDOT spent several years changing the counting mechanism at the state welcome centers making comparisons between years inaccurate from the time the DOT began installation until December 2008. Therefore, previous years' percent changes are not included in this particular chart.

June welcome center visitation was up five percent, while local visitor center visitation was up nearly five percent.




Chart 9 depicts visitation to state and national parks in North Carolina for the last seven years months of June. While state park attendance was up three percent from last June, national park attendance was down from June 2011 (-3.7%). State park attendance is up over twenty percent from 2006.




Chart 10 – Statewide Visitation to State Parks Monthly History 2006 – 2012

Similar to Chart 7, Charts 10 and 11 provide a monthly trend of state and national park visitation for each of the last seven years. These charts help monitor the flow of monthly attraction attendance, while also providing a look at how attendance compares to the same month of the previous years. It is important to note that there are many extraneous variables that can affect visitation at attractions, and particularly at outdoor attractions. Weather, temperature and holidays are variables that should be noted when viewing unusual highs or lows in attendance.




Chart 11 – Statewide Visitation to National Parks Monthly History 2006 – 2012




Chart 12 depicts visitation to State Historic Sites in North Carolina for the last four years of June. As this report has just begun tracking historic site visitation, more data is needed to determine if the decease is indicative of a trend.




Chart 13 – Statewide Visitation to State Historic Sites History 2009 – 2012

12




Chart 14 shows June airport arrivals and departures for each of the previous seven years. Departures and arrivals were both up about two percent from June 2011 to 2012 and there has been a substantial seven-year increase in both for the month of June.




Chart 15 provides the average price per gallon of unleaded gasoline for June 2012 and the same month from the six previous years. The data provided above, when compared with other indicators such as attraction attendance and visitor spending data, can be very helpful in the analysis of general travel trends. Fuel prices in June 2012 were seven percent below last June, but still up nearly twenty percent over the last seven years.




Chart 16 provides 48 months of air temperature and precipitation. This data, when analyzed together with gas price data and other tourism indicators, can be valuable in determining possible reasons for significant increases and/or decreases in indicators. For instance, greater than normal precipitation during a particular month can often help explain decreases in attendance at outdoor attractions.

The average temperature for June was the lowest in four years, though the precipitation was about normal. The cooler temperatures likely contributed to higher attraction attendance throughout the state for the month.

Section 2 – Geographic Marketing Region (Coastal/Piedmont/Mountain) Tourism Indicator Analysis for June 2012




Chart 17 – Hotel/Motel Statistics by Geographic Region - June 2012

Chart 17 provides a one year comparison in lodging statistics for the three geographic marketing regions of North Carolina in June. Major indicators in all three regions showed positive data in June as compared to 2011.




Chart 18 – Hotel/Motel Room Demand by Geographic Region - June 2012

Chart 18 provides hotel/motel demand by geographic region for June 2012. Demand differs from occupancy in that it is the total number of rooms sold, not accounting for differences in room supply. All three geographic regions had positive increases in demand from June 2011 to June 2012.




Chart 19 provides a look at the attractions industry in North Carolina in June 2012 by geographic region. As with the statewide numbers, the following data represents only a sample of North Carolina attractions that provide their attendance data, and are not intended to be considered a complete list of attractions. However, the wide variation of type and location of the participating attractions allow for a valid aggregate trend analysis on a monthly basis.

June attendance growth for attractions and parks varied by region. While attraction attendance was up in all three regions, it was most prominent in the Piedmont Region. National park attendance increased in the Coastal and Piedmont regions, but was down in the Mountain Region. As well, while state park attendance increased in the Piedmont Region, it decreased in the mountains and coast.

Chart 20 – State Welcome Center and Local Visitor Center Attendance by Geographic Region – June 2012




Chart 20 shows welcome center and visitor center attendance by geographic region and offers comparisons from June 2011. Welcome center and visitor center visitation increased throughout the state during June with the exception of local visitor centers in the mountains, which was flat from last year.






Chart 21 provides a breakdown of air travel statistics by geographic region. While the majority of air traffic is through the Piedmont Region, it is helpful to maintain a trend of other regional airport usage. The Coastal and Mountain regions showed decreases in arrivals and departures from June 2011 to 2012. The discontinuation of seasonal service is one explanation for the decreases in 2012. For instance in Asheville, seasonal service to Dallas, Ft. Walton Beach and Tampa were discontinued from 2011 to 2012.

# Section 3: Economic Development Region Tourism Indicator Analysis – June 2012

#### The seven economic regions include:

- 1 Northeast (Bertie, Camden, Chowan, Currituck, Gates, Halifax, Hertford, Northampton, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Beaufort, Dare, Hyde, Martin, Tyrrell, Washington).
- 2 Eastern (Carteret, Craven, Jones, Onslow, Pamlico, Duplin, Edgecombe, Green, Lenoir, Nash, Pitt, Wayne, Wilson).
- 3 Southeast (Brunswick, Columbus, New Hanover, Pender, Bladen, Cumberland, Hoke, Richmond, Robeson, Sampson, Scotland).
- 4 Triangle (Franklin, Harnett, Johnston, Vance, Wake, Warren, Chatham, Durham, Granville, Lee, Moore, Orange, Person).
- 5 Triad (Alamance, Caswell, Guilford, Montgomery, Randolph, Rockingham, Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Stokes, Surry, Yadkin).
- 6 Carolinas (Alexander, Catawba, Cleveland, Iredell, Rowan, Anson, Cabarrus, Gaston, Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Stanly, Union).
- 7 Western (Alleghany, Ashe, Avery, Buncombe, Burke, Caldwell, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Henderson, Jackson, Macon, Madison, McDowell, Mitchell, Polk, Rutherford, Swain, Transylvania, Watauga, Wilkes, Yancey).






Chart 22 provides lodging indicators for June 2012 by economic development region. Also shown are percent changes from June 2011. This graph allows individual regions within the state to track indicators specific to their general destinations, while still being able to compare their data to the state data shown in Section 1.






Chart 23 depicts hotel/motel demand for the month of June 2012 by economic development region. Demand is the number of rooms sold excluding complimentary rooms. All of the seven regions experienced increased demand from June 2011 to 2012, particularly the Triad Region.

## **Section 4: National Travel Price Index**

The Travel Price Index (TPI) measures the seasonally unadjusted inflation rate of the cost of travel away from home in the United States. The TPI is based on U.S. Department of Labor price data collected for the monthly Consumer Price Index (CPI). The TPO is released monthly and is directly comparable to the CPI.

### Variables included in calculating the TPI:

Recreation Services Food and Beverage Alcohol Away From Home Food Away from Home Other Lodging (Include Hotel/Motel) Transportation Airline Fares Intra-city Public Transportation Motor Fuel Other Intercity Transportation






Chart 24 provides a five year trend of the National Travel Price Index (TPI). Steady growth was experienced through mid-2008; however in November 2008, it is clear that as the TPI fell below 2007 levels, the tourism industry began feeling the full effect of the recession. For most of 2009, the TPI remained slightly at or below the 2007 level. In December 2010, the TPI finally inched above the each of the previous Decembers from 2007, and continued that year-over-year growth into June 2011. Growth slowed during the summer months to be just barely above the TPI of July 2008, but has since moved to above all previous six years. 2012 continued the growth trend in travel prices with June remaining above all previous years' prices.

\*Hotel/Motel statistics are from Smith Travel Research, Inc.; all other figures are from the Division of Tourism. North Carolina Division of Tourism, Film and Sports Development 301 N. Wilmington Street • 4324 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4324 • Tel: (919) 733-4171 • Fax: (919) 733-8582